

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.

	EVAMINED
	EXAMINER
	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
	18
	DATE MAILED:
EXAMIN	ER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO p	ersonnel):
	(3)
(2) Deffrey E. Russel	(4)
Date of interview August 29 1997	
Type: STelephonic Personal (copy is given to a	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	
Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the	he claims in question. News not reached
Agreement was reached with respect to some or all or to	ile claims in question. 🔑 🗝 as not reasined.
Claims discussed:	
\mathcal{A}_{l}	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if ar	text of property
Tesponse In independent dein	-s tether should be recited as being the to
	out affector molecule l'experdience met are
supported by any on a costance of	currence See also page 5 loss is 27) would organize file
second a fearth para reach much insections.	Then form situation of amount places and
(A fuller description, if necessary and a copy of the amendo	nents, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be
attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which w	ould render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to	o the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT
WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	E INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office onth from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.
2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (in	cluding any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and
requirements that may be present in the last Office	action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the oplicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless
box 1 above is also checked.	No More Emple Musel

Serial Number: 08/398,555 Page 2

Art Unit: 1811

- 1. The proposed amendment filed September 4, 1997 will not be entered because it raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. In particular, the limitations requiring branched tethers able to covalently link more than one growth effector molecule are new limitations. No such limitations or similar limitations were previously claimed, and the limitations would require further search and consideration, e.g., of their obviousness over Swan et al which discloses branched spacers (see, e.g., column 4, line 59 column 5, line 15) containing one or more second reactive groups (column 2, lines 60-62) through which are attached target molecules such as attachment proteins or peptides, extracellular matrix proteins or peptides, or growth factor proteins or peptides for purposes of cell attachment and cell growth (column 9, lines 53-58)
- 2. The remainder of the proposed limitations would have been entered had they been submitted separately, and would have overcome the rejections set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3 of the final Office action.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (703) 308-3975. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Jeffrey E. Russel

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1811

JRussel

September 15, 1997